Mediterranean Diet Soil Health and Functional Wellness

Clinical evidence agricultural pathways and an accountable strategy for prevention

Strategic Research and Perspectives Series | 1 October 2026

Executive perspective

The Mediterranean dietary pattern has stronger human clinical evidence than claims that regenerative soil management directly prevents chronic disease. Soil health matters to agricultural function and may influence crop composition, but the full pathway from a farming practice to a long-term human health outcome remains incompletely tested. A credible wellness strategy should act on established dietary evidence while measuring agricultural claims separately.

Functional wellness is used here as an operational goal: preserving the ability to function in daily life while improving cardiometabolic health, nutrition, and well-being. It is not a standardized diagnosis. It should be evaluated through defined outcomes rather than broad claims about detoxification, biological age, or disease reversal.

This paper connects diet and soil through a sequence of measurable steps: agricultural conditions, edible food composition, actual consumption, and human outcomes. It recommends Mediterranean-style meal support alongside transparent sourcing, soil safety, and independent evaluation of any claimed nutritional advantage.

What the Mediterranean pattern includes

A Mediterranean-style diet emphasizes vegetables, fruit, legumes, whole grains, nuts, and olive oil, with fish and moderate amounts of selected animal foods. The clinical studies evaluate dietary patterns and support, not a requirement that ingredients come from Mediterranean countries or a particular agricultural label. The diet can be adapted to local availability and cultural preferences. [1,2]

Practical implementation should make the pattern affordable and repeatable. Beans, lentils, frozen vegetables, and suitable canned foods can help participants follow a plan when fresh food is expensive or difficult to obtain. Portions and composition require adaptation to clinical needs. The goal is sustained dietary exposure, not a premium shopping identity.

Longitudinal cardiovascular evidence

PREDIMED assigned 7,447 Spanish adults at high cardiovascular risk to Mediterranean diets supplemented with extra-virgin olive oil or nuts, or to advice to reduce dietary fat. Median follow-up was 4.8 years. In the corrected 2018 analysis, major cardiovascular event hazard ratios were 0.69 for the olive-oil group and 0.72 for the nut group relative to the comparator. The respective 95 percent confidence intervals were 0.53 to 0.91 and 0.54 to 0.95. [1]

Observed event proportions were 3.8 percent, 3.4 percent, and 4.4 percent. These absolute proportions differ from relative hazard reductions and should not be presented as a 30-percentage-point benefit. The original report was withdrawn and republished after randomization deviations were identified; the revised analysis and its limitations should accompany the findings. The trial supports reduction of a composite cardiovascular endpoint in this population, not an identical benefit for every age or outcome. [1]

CORDIOPREV randomized 1,002 people with established coronary disease to a Mediterranean or low-fat diet and followed them for seven years. The primary composite cardiovascular endpoint occurred in 87 participants in the Mediterranean group and 111 in the low-fat group. Most participants were men, and the study was conducted in Spain. The evidence supports secondary prevention in a defined setting, with limits on generalization. [2]

Study	Population and duration	Relevant result	What remains unproven
PREDIMED corrected analysis [1]	High-risk adults without cardiovascular disease at entry and median 4.8 years	Fewer major cardiovascular events	Effects of a specific soil-management system
CORDIOPREV [2]	Established coronary disease and seven years	Fewer composite cardiovascular events	Identical benefit in all demographic groups
NU-AGE microbiome analysis [3]	612 older adults across five countries and one year	Diet-related microbial patterns associated with health measures	Soil microbes causing clinical improvement
SMILES [4]	67 adults with depression and twelve weeks	Improved depressive symptoms with dietary support	Long-term prevention or replacement of psychiatric care

Gut health mood and daily function

NU-AGE assessed gut microbiota in 612 older adults before and after a one-year Mediterranean-style intervention. Diet-responsive microbial patterns were associated with measures involving frailty, cognition, and inflammation. The results support further study of diet and the gut microbiome, but do not establish that changes in a particular microbe caused

better function. They also do not demonstrate that agricultural soil microbes directly produced the observed human changes. [3]

The SMILES trial tested dietary support as an adjunct to treatment for depression over twelve weeks. Participants assigned dietary support showed greater improvement in depressive symptoms than those assigned social support. Its small sample and short duration limit claims about prevention, durability, or application to all patients. Dietary support should be considered alongside indicated mental-health care. [4]

For a functional-wellness program, mood, physical performance, and clinical biomarkers should be treated as distinct endpoints. A change in a laboratory value does not automatically establish improved daily function. Conversely, a participant may report better energy without evidence that the intervention prevented future disease.

How soil can influence food

NRCS defines soil health through the continuing capacity of soil to function as a living ecosystem. Relevant functions include water movement, nutrient cycling, and support of plants and organisms. Soil assessment uses physical, chemical, and biological indicators; no single measure establishes every aspect of soil function. Management may include cover, diversity, living roots, and reduced disturbance, adapted to the site. [5,6]

Crop composition depends on more than soil organic matter. Variety, climate, available nutrients, crop maturity, storage, and preparation also influence what reaches a consumer. A credible soil-to-food hypothesis must measure those factors rather than assume that one practice produces a uniform nutrient advantage.

Montgomery and colleagues compared regenerative and conventional farming in a preliminary study. Some sampled crops from regenerative systems had higher concentrations of selected nutrients or phytochemicals and more favorable soil measures. The samples were small, the comparisons were not a long-term randomized human trial, and disclosed relationships included participation by people associated with a sampled regenerative farm. The findings are hypothesis-generating and cannot support a guaranteed clinical benefit for every regenerative product. [7]

Soil fertility and food safety

A productive soil can still contain contaminants. EPA describes potential lead exposure during gardening and recommends evaluating soil used for food crops. Prior land use, contaminated dust, and direct soil contact can matter in addition to plant uptake. Soil organic matter or an organic certification claim should not be treated as a substitute for contaminant assessment. [8]

Community gardens and farms supplying a wellness program should use site-appropriate testing and qualified interpretation. A general fertility test may not include contaminants of

concern. Washing produce and safe handling remain necessary; intentionally consuming soil or unwashed foods is not an evidence-based method for improving the gut microbiome.

The strategic implication is that soil-health reporting needs two independent components: agricultural function and safety. A favorable result on one does not answer the other. Procurement should also preserve affordability so that a premium sourcing policy does not reduce participation in the dietary pattern supported by clinical evidence.

Recommended program design

Healthcare organizations should first define the dietary intervention, eligible population, and measurable clinical goals. Dietitians can adapt the pattern and provide practical cooking and purchasing support. Programs should document baseline intake, actual adherence, medication changes, and relevant clinical outcomes so that any improvement has an interpretable context.

Agricultural partners should report farming practices and comparable soil measures over time. If a nutritional advantage is claimed, matched edible products should undergo independent testing that accounts for variety, season, maturity, and storage. Claims should specify which nutrient changed and by how much, rather than describing all food as more nutrient dense.

Evaluation layer	Proposed measure	Interpretation rule
Agricultural function	Organic matter infiltration and site-appropriate indicators	Describes soil performance rather than a clinical outcome
Food composition	Nutrient and contaminant testing of edible portions	Demonstrates composition in sampled products
Dietary exposure	Repeated intake and adherence assessment	Establishes what participants actually consumed
Human function	Validated physical and patient-reported measures	Tests daily-function goals directly
Clinical outcomes	Relevant blood pressure lipids glycemic or event measures	Requires an appropriate comparison and follow-up

Research priorities

The most informative experiment would compare nutritionally characterized foods produced under different soil-management systems while keeping the dietary pattern similar. Randomized allocation where feasible, repeated food testing, and blinded outcome assessment would help isolate the contribution of the agricultural exposure. A short trial could examine

intake and biomarkers; disease prevention would require longer follow-up and sufficient sample size.

Researchers should predefine whether the hypothesis concerns nutrient concentration, contaminant exposure, dietary adherence, or clinical events. A study demonstrating improved soil carbon cannot be cited as proof of improved human cognition. A diet trial showing fewer cardiovascular events cannot identify which farm practice produced that benefit unless the trial measured that practice.

The recommended strategy is to pair an established dietary pattern with transparent agricultural stewardship. Invest in access, sustained adherence, safe soils, and measured outcomes. Additional clinical claims about farming systems should follow human evidence rather than precede it.

Research approach

This paper is a targeted narrative evidence synthesis for strategic decision-making, with sources reviewed on 1 October 2026. It prioritizes primary research and official regulatory material. It is not a systematic review or original empirical study. Study findings are distinguished from analyst recommendations; observational associations do not establish individual causation. Regulations and product eligibility require confirmation for the specific transaction or application.

References

[1] Estruch R et al. Primary Prevention of Cardiovascular Disease with a Mediterranean Diet Supplemented with Extra-Virgin Olive Oil or Nuts. Corrected and republished analysis. New England Journal of Medicine. 2018;378:e34. doi 10.1056/NEJMoa1800389. View source

[2] Delgado-Lista J et al. Long-term secondary prevention of cardiovascular disease with a Mediterranean diet and a low-fat diet CORDIOPREV. Lancet. 2022;399:1876-1885. doi 10.1016/S0140-6736(22)00122-2. View source

[3] Ghosh TS et al. Mediterranean diet intervention alters the gut microbiome in older people reducing frailty and improving health status. Gut. 2020;69:1218-1228. doi 10.1136/gutjnl-2019-319654. View source

[4] Jacka FN et al. A randomised controlled trial of dietary improvement for adults with major depression SMILES. BMC Medicine. 2017;15:23. doi 10.1186/s12916-017-0791-y. View source

[5] USDA NRCS. Soil Health. View source

[6] USDA NRCS. Soil Health Assessment. View source

[7] Montgomery DR et al. Soil health and nutrient density preliminary comparison of regenerative and conventional farming. PeerJ. 2022;10:e12848. doi 10.7717/peerj.12848. View source

[8] US EPA. Healthy Gardening Practices to Reduce Exposure to Lead-Contaminated Soil. View source